Development of Antidrug Antibodies on Bulevirtide Monotherapy in Chronic Hepatitis Delta Does Not Impact Bulevirtide Efficacy, Safety, or Pharmacokinetics

¹CRC "A. M. and A. Migliavacca" Center for Liver Disease, University of Milan, Italy; ³Karolinska University Hospital/Karolinska University of Milan, Italy; ³Karolinska University Hospital/Karolinska Institutet, Department of Infectious Diseases, Stockholm, Italy; ³Karolinska University Hospital/Karolinska University Hospital/Karolinska University Hospital/Karolinska Institutet, Department of Infectious Diseases, Stockholm, Italy; ³Karolinska University Hospital/Karolinska Sweden; ⁴Matei Bals National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Bucharest, Romania; ⁵State Budgetary Institution of Health Care of Moscow Regional Research Clinical Institute Named After M.F. Vladimirsky," Moscow, Russian Federation; ⁶Hepatolog, Samara, Russian Federation; ⁷FSBI National Research Medical Center for Phthisiopulmonology and 10 University Hospital Frankfurt, Department of Medicine, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; 11 Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Klinik für Gastroenterologie, Hepatologie is en ces, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA; 9 Clinic of Modern Medicine, Frankfurt, Department of Medicine, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; 11 Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Klinik für Gastroenterologie, Hepatologie is en ces, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA; 9 Clinic of Modern Medicine, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; 11 Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Klinik für Gastroenterologie, Hepatologie is en ces, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA; 9 Clinic of Modern Medicine, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; 11 Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Klinik für Gastroenterologie, Hepatologie is en ces, Inc., Foster City, CA, USA; 9 Clinic of Modern Medicine, Moscow, Russian Federation; 10 Clinic of Modern Medicine, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; 11 Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Klinik für Gastroenterologie, Hepatologie i und Endokrinologie, Hannover, Germany; ¹²Hôpital Saint Joseph Marseille, France; ¹⁴Hôpital Saint Joseph Marseille, France; ¹⁵Hepatology Unit, Reference Center of the Tuscany Region for Chronic Liver Disease and Cancer, University Hospital of Pisa and Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Italy; ¹⁶Heidelberg University Hospital, Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacoepidemiology, Heidelberg, Germany



Biopharmaceuticals; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; GSK; Janssen; Merck Sharp & Dohme; MYR GmbH; Roche; and Spring Bank Pharmaceuticals. SA received honoraria for lectures and educational events from AbbVie; Biogen; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; and Merck Sharp & Dohme; and reports grants from AbbVie and Gilead Sciences, Inc. ASC, PB, VM, and NM report no conflicts of interest. DM, LY, BLD, RCM, AHL, GC, NLM, and PK are current or former employees of Gilead Sciences, Inc., and may own stock in Gilead Sciences, Inc. **TS** reports no conflict of interest. **VC** reports being a consultant and giving sponsored lectures for AbbVie; AstraZeneca; Bristol Myers Squibb; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; GSK; Hepatera; Merck Sharp & Dohme; Roche; and R-Pharm. SZ reports speaker's bureau and/or consultancy for AbbVie; Allergan; BioMarin; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; Intercept; Janssen; Merck Sharp & Dohme; Novo Nordisk; Swedish Orphan Biovitrum; and Theratechnologies. **HW** reports honoraria for speaking or consulting from Abbott; AbbVie; Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb; Eiger Biopharmaceuticals; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; Janssen; Merck Sharp & Dohme; MYR GmbH; Novartis; Novira; Roche; Roche Diagnostics; Siemens; and Transgene; and research support from Abbott; Bristol Myers Squibb; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; Novartis, Roche; and Roche Diagnostics. **MB** reports being a board member and speaker for AbbVie; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; Intercept; and Roche. FZ reports consulting fees from AiCuris; Aligos; Assembly Biosciences; Blue Jay; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; and GSK; and research funding to INSERM from Assembly Biosciences; Beam; and Janssen. **TA** acted as a speaker and investigator for AbbVie; Eiger Biopharmaceuticals; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; Janssen; Merck; MYR Pharmaceuticals; and Roche. MRB reports speaker's bureau for AbbVie; EISAI-MSD; and Gilead Sciences, Inc. and advisory/consultancy for AbbVie; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; Janssen, and Roche. **MC** received honoraria from AbbVie; Falk; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; GSK; Janssen-Cilag; Merck Sharp & Dohme; Novartis; Roche; Spring Bank Pharmaceuticals; and Swedish Orphan Biovitrum. **AB** received research funding from MYR GmbH.

Pietro Lampertico^{1,2}, Soo Aleman³, Adrian Streinu-Cercel⁴, Pavel Bogomolov⁵, Viacheslav Morozov⁶, Nina Mamonova⁷, Dmitry Manuilov⁸, Lei Ye⁸, Ben L Da⁸, Renee-Claude Mercier⁸, Audrey H Lau⁸, Grace Chee⁸, Navita L Mallalieu⁸, Parag Kumar⁸, Tatyana Stepanova⁹, Vladimir Chulanov⁷, Stefan Zeuzem¹⁰, Heiner Wedemeyer¹¹, Marc Bourliere¹², Fabien Zoulim¹³, Tarik Asselah¹⁴, Maurizia Rossana Brunetto¹⁵, Markus Cornberg¹¹, Antje Blank¹⁶

Introduction

- Hepatitis delta virus (HDV) represents the most severe form of chronic viral hepatitis and is estimated to affect between 10 and 20 million people worldwide¹
- Bulevirtide (BLV), a novel entry inhibitor of HDV, is approved in the European Union at 2 mg/day for the treatment of adults with chronic hepatitis delta (CHD) and compensated liver disease²
- BLV is a linear 47–amino acid chemically synthesized lipopeptide
- Early results from a Phase 1/2 study (MYR201) reported no correlation between antidrug antibody (ADA) development and the efficacy, safety, or pharmacokinetic (PK) profile of BLV after 24 weeks³

Objective

• To evaluate the impact of ADA development on the efficacy, safety, and PK of 48-week BLV monotherapy during treatment for CHD

Methods



number of treated study patients; only arms pooled for integrated analyses are shown; groups treated with the combination of BLV and pegylated interferon are not included c hepatitis delta: HDV. hepatitis delta virus: ISI, integrated summary of immunogenicity QD, once daily: SC, subcutaneous: TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate: ULN, upper limit of normal

- Completed and ongoing Phase 2 and 3 studies that include BLV monotherapy up to week 48 are included in this integrated summary of immunogenicity analysis
- The efficacy analysis included studies MYR203 and MYR301. Efficacy parameters assessed included virologic and biochemical response rates — Safety and PK analysis included studies MYR203, MYR301, and MYR204. Safety parameters assessed included graded treatment-
- emergent adverse events (TEAEs) and hypersensitivity or immunemediated TEAEs. TEAEs were coded according to MedDRA version 24.0 — ADA assessment time points that were evaluated across the 3 studies through week 48 are baseline, week 24, and week 48
- ADA detection was performed via an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and was developed and validated at Prolytic GmbH (Frankfurt, Germany), with a calibrated range of 500 to 10,000 ng/mL and a sensitivity of 250 ng/mL
- The incidence of ADA development (ADA+) was defined as ADA negativity or missing at baseline with subsequent ADA positivity at any postbaseline visit through week 48. Patients with at least one available ADA measurement postbaseline were included
- ADA assessments through week 48 were done at the following time points: — MYR203: day 1, week 12, week 24, and week 48
- MYR204 and MYR301: day 1, week 16, week 24, and week 48

Results

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics by Dose and ADA Incidence by **Week 48**

	BLV 2 mg		BLV 10 mg	
	ADA+ (n = 15)	ADA- (n = 49)	ADA+ (n = 25)	ADA– (n = 88)
Age, years, mean (SD)	41(7)	44 (10)	40 (9)	40 (8)
Male sex, n (%)	11 (73)	30 (61)	16 (64)	63 (72)
White race, n (%)	13 (87)	43 (88)	23 (92)	77 (88)
Cirrhosis, n (%)	6 (40)	20 (41)	8 (32)	32 (36)
Concomitant HBV NUC treatment, n (%)	7 (47)	24 (49)	9 (36)	40 (45)
ALT, U/L, mean (SD)	121.7 (97.5)	107.7 (64.7)	107.0 (65.2)	116.9 (96.8)
Platelet count, 10 ⁹ /L, mean (SD)	164.2 (57.3)	158.0 (53.2)	193.6 (46.3)	168.8 (51.1)
HDV RNA, log ₁₀ IU/mL, mean (SD)	4.8 (1.5)	5.4 (1.2)	5.5 (1.7)	5.2 (1.3)
HBsAg, log ₁₀ IU/mL, mean (SD)	3.7 (0.5)	3.8 (0.5)	3.8 (0.7)	3.7 (0.7)
LSM, kPa, mean (SD)	14.9 (10.9)	13.7 (6.7)	12.5 (5.5)	13.5 (8.4)
Total bile acid, µmol/L, mean (SD)	16.1 (17.5)	13.3 (11.8)	10.6 (6.5)	14.1 (11.6)

ADA, antidrug antibody; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BLV, bulevirtide; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HDV, hepatitis delta virus; LSM, liver stiffness neasurement; NUC, nucleos(t)ide analogue

Table 2. Onset and Duration of On-Treatment ADA Incidence by **Week 48**

	BLV 2 mg	BLV 10 mg	Total
ADA onset, weeks, mean (SD)	26 (14.1)	21 (11.3)	23 (12.5)
	n = 15	n = 25	n = 40
ADA duration, weeks, mean (SD)	11 (12.5)	7 (8.3)	9 (9.9)
	n = 11	n = 22	n = 33

ADA, antidrug antibody; BLV, bulevirtide

- Incidence rates for ADA development were similar with BLV 2 and 10 mg (23% and 22%, respectively). For this analysis, only patients who were evaluable for ADA incidence were included
- At baseline, 3 patients were ADA+ in the BLV 2- and 10-mg groups each

Figure 2. Efficacy by Week 48 of BLV Monotherapy by ADA Incidence



Virologic response determined as undetectable HDV RNA baseline. ALT normalization was defined at Russian sites as <31 U/L for women and <41 U/L for men. and at all other sites as <34 U/L for women and <49 U/L for men. ADA, antidrug antibody; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; BLV, bulevirtide; HDV, hepatitis delta virus; ISI, integrated summary of immunogenicity

Figure 3. HDV RNA Decline Over 48 Weeks of BLV Monotherapy



ADA, antidrug antibody: BLV, bulevirtide: HDV, hepatitis delta virus

- ADA status did not have a clinically meaningful impact on HDV RNA decline with either BLV treatment group
- Combined, virologic, and biochemical response rates were similar regardless of ADA status in both BLV treatment groups

Copies of this poster obtained through QR (Quick Response) and/or text key codes are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors.



Table 3. Safety Outcomes by Dose and ADA Incidence by Week 48

	BLV 2 mg		BLV 10 mg	
Preferred Term, n (%)	ADA+ (n = 15)	ADA- (n = 49)	ADA+ (n = 25)	ADA- (n = 88)
TEAEs	15 (100)	40 (82)	22 (88)	76 (86)
TEAEs related to BLV	11 (73)	27 (55)	16 (64)	55 (63)
TEAEs of Grade ≥3	0	7 (14)	0	13 (15)
TE SAEs	0	2 (4)	1 (4)	1 (1)
TEAEs leading to premature BLV discontinuation	0	0	0	0
All deaths	0	0	0	0
TEAEs, ISR ^a	6 (40)	4 (8)	5 (20)	18 (20)
TEAEs, hypersensitivity ^b	0	2 (4)	0	5 (6)
TEAEs, immune-mediated/autoimmune ^c	1 (7)	0	0	1 (1)

antidrug antibody; BLV, bulevirtide; ISR, injection-site reaction; SAE, serious adverse event; TE, treatment-emergent; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

- Rates of TEAEs, including those of Grade ≥ 3 and serious AEs, were comparable between subgroups
- No correlation was observed between ADA development and hypersensitivity or immune-mediated TEAEs

Figure 4. Total Bile Acid Levels Over 48 Weeks of BLV Monotherapy



• Dose-dependent asymptomatic elevations in total bile acids were not impacted by ADA incidence

Figure 5. BLV PK by ADA Incidence



percentile, and 75th percentile of AUC_{t ss} and C_{max} for patients receiving 2 or 10 mg bulevirtide from population PK modeling; gray dots represent individual ADA, antidrug antibody; AUC_{T,ss}, area under the plasma concentration-time curve over a dosing interval at steady state; BLV, bulevirtide; C_{max}, maximum observed concentration of drug; GMR, geometric mean ratio; PK, pharmacokinetic

Development of ADAs did not meaningfully influence BLV PK exposure