

Veklury® (remdesivir) Real-World Mortality Data

This document is in response to your request for information regarding Veklury[®] (remdesivir [RDV]) and real-world mortality data. This response was developed according to principles of evidence-based medicine and only contains data from large, retrospective real-world studies (N≥50,000) that were published in peer-reviewed journals and included patients infected with COVID-19 during the Omicron-predominant variant of concern (VOC) period to present.

Some data may be outside of the US FDA-approved prescribing information. In providing this data, Gilead Sciences, Inc. is not making any representation as to its clinical relevance or to the use of any Gilead product(s). For information about the approved conditions of use of any Gilead drug product, please consult the FDA-approved prescribing information.

The full indication, important safety information, and boxed warnings are available at: www.gilead.com/-/media/files/pdfs/medicines/covid-19/veklury/veklury_pi.

Summary

Real-World Data on RDV Use and Mortality

A retrospective comparative effectiveness cohort study during the Omicron VOC (December 2021–February 2024) analyzed data from the PINC AI Healthcare Database to assess all-cause in-hospital 14- and 28-day mortality rates in patients who received RDV treatment within 2 days of hospital admission vs patients who received no RDV treatment during hospitalization. Cohorts of adults, patients aged \geq 65 years, and patients with COVID-19 pneumonia were assessed; patients were further categorized by whether they did or did not require supplemental O₂ support.¹

- A total of 56,170 adult patients who received RDV within the first 2 days of hospitalization (age ≥65 years, n=39,715; COVID-19 pneumonia, n=36,385) were PS-matched 1:1 to 56,170 patients who did not receive RDV during hospitalization.
- The 14- and 28-day mortality rates, when adjusted for differences in baseline and clinical covariates, were significantly lower among patients who received RDV compared with those who did not receive RDV; these results were consistent across all cohorts regardless of supplemental O₂ requirement (each, *P*<0.0001).

Real-World Data on RDV Use and Mortality

US PINC AI Healthcare Database: PS-Matched Cohort Study¹

Study design and demographics

A retrospective, comparative effectiveness cohort study analyzed data from the PINC AI Healthcare Database (formerly the Premier Healthcare Database) to assess all-cause

Gilead Sciences, Inc. is providing this document to you, a US Healthcare Professional, in response to your unsolicited request for medical information.

in-hospital mortality in patients who received RDV treatment within 2 days of hospital admission vs patients who received no RDV treatment during hospitalization. Data from adult patients with a primary discharge diagnosis of COVID-19 that was also present on admission during the early Omicron period (December 2021–December 2022) or late Omicron period (January 2023–February 2024) were included in the analysis. Exclusion criteria included the following: pregnancy, incomplete data, death or discharge within 2 days of admission, transfer to or from another hospital, transfer from hospice, admission to the hospital for an elective procedure, use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation at admission, or initiation of RDV >2 days after hospital admission. Patients in the RDV cohort received ≥1 dose of RDV during the first 2 days of admission, whereas those in the non-RDV cohort did not receive RDV during their hospitalization. The number of RDV doses received was not reported.

The primary outcome was 14- and 28-day all-cause inpatient mortality (defined as a discharge status of "expired" or "hospice"). Cohorts of adults aged \geq 18 years, patients aged \geq 65 years (overall, aged 65–75 years, 75–84 years, and \geq 85 years), and patients with COVID-19 pneumonia were analyzed; patients were further categorized by requiring no supplemental O_2 support and requiring supplemental O_2 support.

After 1:1 PS matching, 56,170 adult patients who received RDV within the first 2 days of hospitalization (age ≥65 years, n=39,715; COVID-19 pneumonia, n=36,385) were matched to 56,170 patients who did not receive RDV during hospitalization (Table 1). The absolute standardized differences for baseline covariates between the RDV and non-RDV groups were <0.15.

Table 1. Baseline Demographics and Disease Characteristics: PS-Matched Cohort (Mozaffari et al)¹

Key Demographics and Characteristics		RDV (n=56,170)	Non-RDV (n=56,170)	
Age, n (%)	18–49 years	4325 (7.7)	4325 (7.7)	
	50-64 years	10,875 (19.4)	10,875 (19.4)	
	≥65 years	40,970 (72.9)	40,970 (72.9)	
Female, n (%)	Female, n (%)		28,718 (51.1)	
Race, White/Black/Asi	an/other, %	77/14/2/7	76.7/14.2/2/7.1	
Ethnicity, Hispanic/No	n-Hispanic/unknown, %	7.9/85.7/6.4	8.2/85.4/6.4	
Omigrap paried 0/	Early	72	72	
Omicron period, %	Late	28	28	
	Cardiovascular disease	88.3	88.3	
	Diabetes mellitus	39.5	39.5	
Danalina	COPD	36.5	36.2	
Baseline	Renal disease	30.9	31.4	
comorbidities, %	Obesity	28.2	28.4	
	Immunocompromising condition	17	17.1	
	Cancer	7.2	7.1	
	Corticosteroids	77.8	77.8	
Other treatments at baseline, %	Anticoagulants	74.6	74.6	
	Baricitinib	5.9	6	
	Tocilizumab	3	3	
	Oral antivirals	0.3	0.3	

Gilead Sciences, Inc. is providing this document to you, a US Healthcare Professional, in response to your unsolicited request for medical information.

Key Demographics and Characteristics		RDV (n=56,170)	Non-RDV (n=56,170)	
Baseline O ₂ requirements, n (%)	No supplemental O ₂	27,704 (49.3)	27,704 (49.3)	
	LFO	17,682 (31.5)	17,682 (31.5)	
	HFO/NIV	9277 (16.5)	9277 (16.5)	
	Invasive mechanical ventilation	1507 (2.7)	1507 (2.7)	

Abbreviations: COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HFO=high-flow O₂; LFO=low-flow O₂; NIV=noninvasive ventilation.

Mortality outcomes

The unadjusted mortality rates at 14 and 28 days were numerically lower in the RDV group compared with the non-RDV group (Table 2).

Table 2. Unadjusted 14- and 28-Day Mortality Rates by Cohort for the Entire Omicron Period (Mozaffari et al)¹

Cohort	O ₂ Status	14-Day Mortality, %		28-Day Mortality, %	
Conort		RDV	Non-RDV	RDV	Non-RDV
	Overall	7.2	9	9.5	11.5
Adults	No supplemental O ₂	4.5	5.6	5.7	6.8
	Supplemental O ₂	9.8	12.3	13.2	16
	Overall	8.1	10.5	10.5	13
Age ≥65 years	No supplemental O ₂	5.3	6.7	6.7	8
	Supplemental O ₂	11.2	14.7	14.6	18.5
	Overall	9.1	11.3	12.4	14.9
COVID-19 pneumonia	No supplemental O ₂	5.7	7.4	7.7	9.4
	Supplemental O ₂	11.4	14	15.6	18.6

After adjusting for differences in baseline and clinical covariates, mortality risk was significantly lower at Day 14 and Day 28 in patients who received RDV than in those who did not across all cohorts and regardless of supplemental O₂ use (Table 3). Mortality rate estimates were adjusted in a Cox proportional hazards model according to age, month of admission, intensive care unit vs general ward at admission, and time-varied treatments coadministered at baseline (eg, baricitinib, tocilizumab, and oral antivirals).

Table 3. Adjusted 14- and 28-Day Mortality by Cohort for the Entire Omicron Period (Mozaffari et al)¹

Cohort	O ₂ Status	aHR (9	<i>P</i> -Value	
		14-Day Mortality	28-Day Mortality	P-value
Adults	Overall	0.77 (0.73-0.81)	0.79 (0.75-0.83)	<0.0001
	No supplemental O ₂	0.75 (0.69-0.82)	0.77 (0.72-0.83)	< 0.0001
	Supplemental O ₂	0.76 (0.72-0.81)	0.79 (0.74-0.83)	<0.0001
Age ≥65 years ^b	Overall	0.75 (0.71-0.79)	0.77 (0.74-0.81)	<0.0001
	No supplemental O ₂	0.75 (0.69-0.81)	0.79 (0.73-0.85)	< 0.0001
	Supplemental O ₂	0.73 (0.69-0.78)	0.75 (0.71-0.8)	< 0.0001
COVID-19 pneumonia	Overall	0.78 (0.74-0.82)	0.8 (0.76-0.85)	<0.0001
	No supplemental O ₂	0.74 (0.67-0.81)	0.77 (0.71–0.84)	< 0.0001
	Supplemental O ₂	0.79 (0.74–0.84)	0.81 (0.76-0.86)	< 0.0001

Abbreviation: aHR=adjusted hazard ratio.

Note: Findings by early and late Omicron periods were consistent with the results for the entire Omicron period.

^aFor comparisons of RDV treatment vs no RDV treatment.

^bResults by age subcategory (65–74 years, 75–84 years, and ≥85 years) were consistent with the results reported here for the overall group aged ≥65 years.

Gilead Sciences, Inc. is providing this document to you, a US Healthcare Professional, in response to your unsolicited request for medical information.

Sensitivity analyses performed using inverse probability of treatment weighting that compared RDV initiation within the first 2 days of hospital admission vs no RDV initiation in the first 2 days were consistent with the overall results.

Additional safety outcomes were not reported.

Reference

1. Mozaffari E, Chandak A, Berry M, et al. Management of Vulnerable Patients Hospitalized for COVID-19 With Remdesivir: A Retrospective Comparative Effectiveness Study of Mortality in US Hospitals. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2024;79(Suppl 4):S137-S148.

Abbreviations

O₂=oxygen PINC AI=Premier Inc. Artificial Intelligence PS=propensity score RDV=remdesivir

VOC=variant of concern

Product Label

For the full indication, important safety information, and boxed warning(s), please refer to the Veklury US Prescribing Information available at: www.gilead.com/-/media/files/pdfs/medicines/covid-19/veklury/veklury pi.

Follow-Up

For any additional questions, please contact Gilead Medical Information at:

2 1-866-MEDI-GSI (1-866-633-4474) or 🕆 www.askgileadmedical.com

Adverse Event Reporting

Please report all adverse events to:

Gilead Global Patient Safety (28) 1-800-445-3235, option 3 or www.gilead.com/utility/contact/report-an-adverse-event

FDA MedWatch Program by 1-800-FDA-1088 or MedWatch, FDA, 5600 Fishers Ln, Rockville, MD 20852 or www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/medwatch

Data Privacy

The Medical Information service at Gilead Sciences may collect, store, and use your personal information to provide a response to your medical request. We may share your information with other Gilead Sciences colleagues to ensure that your request is addressed appropriately. If you report an adverse event or concern about the quality of a Gilead or Kite product, we will need to use the information you have given us in order to meet our regulatory requirements in relation to the safety of our medicines.

It may be necessary for us to share your information with Gilead's affiliates, business partners, service providers, and regulatory authorities located in countries besides your own. Gilead Sciences has implemented measures to protect the personal information you provide. Please see the Gilead Privacy Statement (www.gilead.com/privacy-statements) for more information about how Gilead handles your personal information and your rights. If you have any further questions about the use of your personal information, please contact privacy@gilead.com.

VEKLURY, GILEAD, and the GILEAD logo are registered trademarks of Gilead Sciences, Inc., or its related companies.

© 2025 Gilead Sciences, Inc.